arXiv:1602.08815v1 [math.NA] 29 Feb 2016

A DISCRETE DIVERGENCE FREE WEAK GALERKIN FINITE ELEMENT METHOD FOR THE STOKES EQUATIONS

LIN MU*, JUNPING WANG†, AND XIU YE‡

Abstract. A discrete divergence free weak Galerkin finite element method is developed for the Stokes equations based on a weak Galerkin (WG) method introduced in [15]. Discrete divergence free bases are constructed explicitly for the lowest order weak Galerkin elements in two and three dimensional spaces. These basis functions can be derived on general meshes of arbitrary shape of polygons and polyhedrons. With the divergence free basis derived, the discrete divergence free WG scheme can eliminate pressure variable from the system and reduces a saddle point problem to a symmetric and positive definite system with many fewer unknowns. Numerical results are presented to demonstrate the robustness and accuracy of this discrete divergence free WG method.

Key words. Weak Galerkin, finite element methods, the Stokes equations, divergence free.

AMS subject classifications. Primary, 65N15, 65N30, 76D07; Secondary, 35B45, 35J50

1. Introduction.

The Stokes problem seeks unknown functions $\mathbf{u}$ and p satisfying

$$-\nabla\cdot A\nabla\mathbf{u}+\nabla p=\mathbf{f} \quad \text{in } \Omega, \tag{1.1}$$

$$\nabla\cdot\mathbf{u}=0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \tag{1.2}$$

$$\mathbf{u}=0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \tag{1.3}$$

where Ω is a polygonal domain in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $d=2,3$ and A is a symmetric and positive definite $d\times d$ matrix-valued function in Ω. For the nonhomogeneous boundary condition

$$\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{g} \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

one can use the standard procedure by letting $\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{u}_0+\mathbf{u}_g$. $\mathbf{u}_g$ is a known function satisfying $\mathbf{u}_g=\mathbf{g}$ on $\partial\Omega$ and $\mathbf{u}_0$ is zero at $\partial\Omega$ and satisfies (1.1)-(1.2) with different right hand sides.

The weak form in the primary velocity-pressure formulation for the Stokes problem (1.1)–(1.3) seeks $\mathbf{u}\in[H_0^1(\Omega)]^d$ and $p\in L_0^2(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$(A\nabla\mathbf{u},\nabla\mathbf{v})-(\nabla\cdot\mathbf{v},p)=(\mathbf{f},\mathbf{v}), \quad \forall\mathbf{v}\in[H_0^1(\Omega)]^d \tag{1.4}$$

$$(\nabla\cdot\mathbf{u},q)=0, \qquad \forall q\in L_0^2(\Omega). \tag{1.5}$$

*Computer Science and Mathematics Division Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, 37831,USA (mul1@ornl.gov). This research was supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Advanced Scientific Computing Research, Applied Mathematics program under award number ERKJE45; and by the Laboratory Directed Research and Development program at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory, which is operated by UT-Battelle, LLC., for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC05-00OR22725.

†Division of Mathematical Sciences, National Science Foundation, Arlington, VA 22230 (jwang@nsf.gov). The research of Wang was supported by the NSF IR/D program, while working at the Foundation. However, any opinion, finding, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

‡Department of Mathematics, University of Arkansas at Little Rock, Little Rock, AR 72204 (xxye@ualr.edu). This research was supported in part by National Science Foundation Grant DMS-1115097

In the standard finite element methods for the Stokes and the Navier-Stokes equations, both pressure and velocity are approximated simultaneously. The primitive system is a large saddle point problem. Numerical solvers for such indefinite systems are usually less effective and robust than solvers for definite systems. On the other hand, the divergence-free finite element method, discrete or exact, computes numerical solution of velocity by solving a symmetric positive definite system in a divergence-free subspace. It eliminates the pressure from the coupled equations and hence significantly reduces the size of the system. The divergence-free method is particularly attractive in the cases where the velocity is the primary variable of interest, for example, the groundwater flow calculation. The main tasks in the implementation of the divergence-free method are to understand divergence-free subspaces, weakly or exactly, and to construct bases for them.

Many finite element methods, continuous [2, 3, 8] and discontinuous [1, 4, 11, 12, 16], have been developed and analyzed for the Stokes and the Navier-Stokes equations. Divergence-free basis for different finite element methods have been constructed [5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 17, 18, 19].

A weak Galerkin finite element method was introduced in [15] for the Stokes equations in the primal velocity-pressure formulation. This method is designed by using discontinuous piecewise polynomials on finite element partitions with arbitrary shape of polygons/polyhedra. Weak Galerkin methods were first introduced in [13, 14] for second order elliptic equations. In general, weak Galerkin finite element formulations for partial differential equations can be derived naturally by replacing usual derivatives by weakly-defined derivatives in the corresponding variational forms, with an option of adding a stabilization term to enforce a weak continuity of the approximating functions. Therefore the weak Galerkin method developed in [15] for the Stokes equations naturally has the form: find $\mathbf{u}_h \in V_h$ and $p_h \in W_h$ satisfying

$$(A\nabla_w \mathbf{u}_h, \nabla_w \mathbf{v}) + s(\mathbf{u}_h, \mathbf{v}) - (\nabla_w \cdot \mathbf{v}, p_h) = (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{v}), \tag{1.6}$$

$$(\nabla_w \cdot \mathbf{u}_h, q) = 0 \tag{1.7}$$

for all the test functions $\mathbf{v} \in V_h$ and $q \in W_h$ where V_h and W_h will be defined later. The stabilizer $s(\mathbf{u}_h, \mathbf{v})$ in (1.6) is parameter independent.

Let D_h be a discrete divergence free subspace of V_h such that $(\nabla_w \cdot \mathbf{v}, q) = 0$ for ant $q \in W_h$. Then the discrete divergence free WG formulation is to find $\mathbf{u}_h \in D_h$ satisfying

$$(A\nabla_w \mathbf{u}_h, \nabla_w \mathbf{v}) + s(\mathbf{u}_h, \mathbf{v}) = (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{v}), \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in D_h. \tag{1.8}$$

System (1.8) is symmetric and positive definite with many fewer unknowns. The main purpose of this paper is to construct bases for D_h in two and three dimensional spaces. A unique feature of these divergence free basis functions is that they can be obtained on general meshes such as hybrid meshes or meshes with hanging nodes. Numerical examples in two dimensional space are provided to confirm the theory. Although the Stokes equations is considered, the divergence free basis can be use for solving the Navier-Stokes equations.

2. A Weak Galerkin Finite Element Method. In this section, we will review the WG method for the Stokes equations introduced in [15] with $k = 1$.

Let $\mathcal{T}_h$ be a partition of the domain Ω consisting mix of polygons satisfying a set of conditions specified in [14]. In addition, we assume that all the elements $T \in \mathcal{T}_h$ are convex. Denote by $\mathcal{F}_h$ the set of all edges in 2D or faces in 3D in $\mathcal{T}_h$, and let $\mathcal{F}_h^0 = \mathcal{F}_h \backslash \partial\Omega$ be the set of all interior edges or faces.

We define a weak Galerkin finite element space for the velocity as follows

$$V_h = \left\{ \mathbf{v} = \{\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_b\} : \ \{\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_b\}|_T \in [P_1(T)]^d \times [P_0(e)]^d, \ e \subset \partial T, \ \mathbf{v}_b = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \right\}.$$

We would like to emphasize that there is only a single value $\mathbf{v}_b$ defined on each edge in 2D and face in 3D. For the pressure variable, we have the following finite element space

$$W_h = \left\{ q : \ q \in L_0^2(\Omega), \ q|_T \in P_0(T) \right\}.$$

For a given $\mathbf{v} \in V_h$, a weak gradient and a weak divergence are defined locally on each $T \in \mathcal{T}_h$ as follows.

DEFINITION 2.1. *A weak gradient, denoted by ∇_w, is defined as the unique polynomial $(\nabla_w \mathbf{v}) \in [P_0(T)]^{d\times d}$ for $\mathbf{v} \in V_h$ satisfying the following equation,*

$$(\nabla_w \mathbf{v}, q)_T = -(\mathbf{v}_0, \nabla \cdot q)_T + \langle \mathbf{v}_b, q \cdot \mathbf{n} \rangle_{\partial T}, \qquad \forall q \in [P_0(T)]^{d\times d}, \tag{2.1}$$

DEFINITION 2.2. *A weak divergence, denoted by $(\nabla_w \cdot)$, is defined as the unique polynomial $(\nabla_w \cdot \mathbf{v}) \in P_0(T)$ for $\mathbf{v} \in V_h$ that satisfies the following equation*

$$(\nabla_w \cdot \mathbf{v}, \varphi)_T = -(\mathbf{v}_0, \nabla\varphi)_T + \langle \mathbf{v}_b \cdot \mathbf{n}, \varphi \rangle_{\partial T}, \qquad \forall \varphi \in P_0(T). \tag{2.2}$$

Denote by Q_0 the L^2 projection operator from $[L^2(T)]^d$ onto $[P_1(T)]^d$ and denote by Q_b the L^2 projection from $[L^2(e)]^d$ onto $[P_0(e)]^d$. Let $(\mathbf{u}; p)$ be the solution of (1.1)-(1.3). Define $Q_h\mathbf{u} = \{Q_0\mathbf{u}, Q_b\mathbf{u}\} \in V_h$. let $\mathbb{Q}_h$ be the local L^2 projections onto $P_0(T)$.

We introduce three bilinear forms as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
s(\mathbf{v}, \ \mathbf{w}) &= \sum_{T\in\mathcal{T}_h} h_T^{-1} \langle Q_b\mathbf{v}_0 - \mathbf{v}_b, \ \ Q_b\mathbf{w}_0 - \mathbf{w}_b \rangle_{\partial T}, \\
a(\mathbf{v}, \ \mathbf{w}) &= \sum_{T\in\mathcal{T}_h} (A\nabla_w\mathbf{v}, \ \nabla_w\mathbf{w})_T + s(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}), \\
b(\mathbf{v}, \ q) &= \sum_{T\in\mathcal{T}_h} (\nabla_w \cdot \mathbf{v}, \ q)_T.
\end{aligned}$$

ALGORITHM 1. *A numerical approximation for (1.1)-(1.3) can be obtained by seeking $\mathbf{u}_h = \{\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{u}_b\} \in V_h$ and $p_h \in W_h$ such that*

$$a(\mathbf{u}_h, \ \mathbf{v}) - b(\mathbf{v}, \ p_h) = (f, \ \mathbf{v}_0), \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in V_h \tag{2.3}$$

$$b(\mathbf{u}_h, \ q) = 0, \quad \forall q \in W_h. \tag{2.4}$$

Define

$$|||\mathbf{v}|||^2 = a(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}). \tag{2.5}$$

The following optimal error estimates have been derived in [15].

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $(\mathbf{u}; p) \in [H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)]^d \times (L_0^2(\Omega) \cap H^1(\Omega))$ and $(\mathbf{u}_h; p_h) \in V_h \times W_h$ be the solution of (1.1)-(1.3) and (2.3)-(2.4), respectively. Then, the following error estimates hold true*

$$|||Q_h\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_h||| + \|\mathbb{Q}_h p - p_h\| \le Ch(\|\mathbf{u}\|_2 + \|p\|_1), \tag{2.6}$$

$$\|Q_0\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_0\| \le Ch^2(\|\mathbf{u}\|_2 + \|p\|_1). \tag{2.7}$$

Define a discrete divergence free subspace D_h of V_h by

$$D_h = \{\mathbf{v} \in V_h;\ b(\mathbf{v}, q) = 0, \quad \forall q \in W_h\}. \tag{2.8}$$

By taking the test functions from D_h, the weak Galerkin formulation (2.3)-(2.4) is equivalent to the following divergence-free weak Galerkin finite element scheme.

ALGORITHM 2. *A discrete divergence free WG approximation for (1.1)-(1.3) is to find* $\mathbf{u}_h = \{\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{u}_b\} \in D_h$ *such that*

$$a(\mathbf{u}_h,\ \mathbf{v}) = (f,\ \mathbf{v}_0), \quad \forall \mathbf{v} = \{\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_b\} \in D_h. \tag{2.9}$$

System (2.9) is symmetric and positive definite with many fewer unknowns. It can be solved effectively by many existing solvers.

The main task of this paper is to construct basis for D_h. In the next two sections, discrete divergence free bases will be constructed explicitly for two and three dimensional spaces.

3. Construction of Discrete Divergence Free Basis for Two Dimensional Space. For a given partition $\mathcal{T}_h$, let $\mathcal{V}_h^0$ be the set of all interior vertices. Let $N_F = card(\mathcal{F}_h^0)$, $N_V = card(\mathcal{V}_h^0)$ and $N_K = card(\mathcal{T}_h)$. It is known based on the Euler formula that for a partition consisting of convex polygons, then

$$N_F + 1 = N_V + N_K. \tag{3.1}$$

For a mesh $\mathcal{T}_h$ with hanging nodes, the relation in (3.1) is still true if we treat the hanging nodes as vertices.

First we need to derive a basis for V_h. For each $T \in \mathcal{T}_h$ and any $\mathbf{v} = \{\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_b\} \in V_h$, $\mathbf{v}_0$ is a vector function with two components and each component is a linear function. Therefore there are six linearly independent linear functions $\Phi_{j+1}, \Phi_{j+2}, \cdots, \Phi_{j+6}$ in V_h such that they are nonzero only at the interior of element T. For each $e_i \in \mathcal{F}_h^0$, $\mathbf{v}_b$ is a constant vector function. Thus, there are two linearly independent constant functions $\Psi_{i,1}$ and $\Psi_{i,2}$ in V_h which take nonzero value only on e_i. Then it is easy to see that

$$V_h = \text{span}\{\Phi_1, \cdots, \Phi_{6N_K}, \Psi_{1,1}, \Psi_{1,2}, \cdots, \Psi_{N_F,1}, \Psi_{N_F,2}\}. \tag{3.2}$$

For a given function $\mathbf{v} = \{\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_b\} \in V_h$, it is easy to see that $\mathbf{v}_0$ can be spanned by the basis functions Φ_i and $\mathbf{v}_b$ by the basis functions $\Psi_{j,k}$.

Next, we will find the dimension of D_h. Since the dimension for pressure space W_h is $N_K - 1$, it follows from (3.1) that

$$\dim(\mathrm{D_h}) = \dim(\mathrm{V_h}) - \dim(\mathrm{W_h}) = 6\mathrm{N_K} + 2\mathrm{N_F} - \mathrm{N_K} + 1 = 6\mathrm{N_K} + \mathrm{N_F} + \mathrm{N_V}. \tag{3.3}$$

LEMMA 3.1. *The basis functions* $\Phi_1, \cdots, \Phi_{6N_K}$ *of* V_h *in (3.2) are in* D_h *and linearly independent.*

Proof. Let $\Phi_i = \{\Phi_{i,0}, \Phi_{i,b}\}$. The definition of Φ_i implies $\Phi_{i,b} = 0$. For any $q \in W_h$, it follows from (2.2), $\nabla q = 0$ and $\Phi_{i,b} = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} b(\Phi_i, q) &= \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} (\nabla_w \cdot \Phi_i, q)_T \\ &= \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} (-(\Phi_{i,0}, \nabla q)_T + \langle \Phi_{i,b} \cdot \mathbf{n}, q \rangle_{\partial T}) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$



FIG. 3.1. *(a) A 2D hull $\mathcal{H}_{P_i}$; (b) A hull with hanging node.*

which proves the lemma since the linear independence of $\Phi_1, \cdots, \Phi_{6N_K}$ is obvious. ☐

For any $e_i \in \mathcal{F}_h^0$,let $\psi_{i,1}$ and $\psi_{i,2}$ be two basis functions of V_h associated with e_i. Let $\mathbf{n}_{e_i}$ and $\mathbf{t}_{e_i}$ be a normal vector and a tangential vector to e_i respectively. Define $\Upsilon_i = C_1\Psi_{i,1} + C_2\Psi_{i,2}$ such that $\Upsilon_i|_{e_i} = \mathbf{t}_{e_i}$. Obviously $\Upsilon_i \in V_h$ is only nonzero on e_i.

LEMMA 3.2. *Functions $\Upsilon_1, \cdots, \Upsilon_{N_F} \in V_h$ are in D_h and linearly independent.*

Proof. Let $\Upsilon_i = \{\Upsilon_{i,0}, \Upsilon_{i,b}\}$. For any $q \in W_h$, it follows from (2.2) and $\nabla q = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} b(\Upsilon_i, q) &= \sum_{T\in\mathcal{T}_h} (\nabla_w \cdot \Upsilon_i, q)_T \\ &= \sum_{T\in\mathcal{T}_h} (-(\Upsilon_{i,0}, \nabla q)_T + \langle \Upsilon_{i,b}\cdot\mathbf{n}, q\rangle_{\partial T}) \\ &= \sum_{T\in\mathcal{T}_h} \langle \Upsilon_{i,b}\cdot\mathbf{n}, q\rangle_{\partial T} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact $\mathbf{t}_{e_i}\cdot\mathbf{n} = 0$. Since Υ_i is only nonzero on e_i, $\Upsilon_1, \cdots, \Upsilon_{N_F}$ are linearly independent. We completed the proof. ☐

For a given interior vertex $P_i \in \mathcal{V}_h^0$, assume that there are r elements having P_i as a vertex which form a hull $\mathcal{H}_{P_i}$ as shown in Figure 3.1. Then there are r interior edges e_j $(j = 1, \cdots, r)$ associated with $\mathcal{H}_{P_i}$. Let $\mathbf{n}_{e_j}$ be a normal vector on e_j such that normal vectors $\mathbf{n}_{e_j}$ $j = 1, \cdots, r$ are counterclockwise around vertex P_i as shown in Figure 3.1. For each e_j, let $\Psi_{j,1}$ and $\Psi_{j,2}$ be the two basis functions of V_h which is only nonzero on e_j. Define $\Theta_j = C_1\Psi_{j,1} + C_2\Psi_{j,2} \in V_h$ such that $\Theta_j|_{e_j} = \mathbf{n}_{e_j}$. Define $\Lambda_i = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{1}{|e_j|}\Theta_j$.

LEMMA 3.3. *Functions $\Lambda_1, \cdots, \Lambda_{N_V} \in V_h$ are in D_h and linearly independent.*

Proof. Suppose that there exist constants $c_1, \cdots, c_{N_V}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{N_V} c_i\Lambda_i = 0$. Let Λ_l be associated with a hull $\mathcal{H}_{P_l}$ such that there exists e_m as one of the interior edges of $\mathcal{H}_{P_l}$ and edge e_m has a boundary node as one of its end points. Since $\Lambda_i = 0$ on e_m for $i \neq l$, we have

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^{N_V} \int_{e_m} c_i\Lambda_i = \int_{e_m} c_l\Lambda_l = \int_{e_m} c_l\Theta_m = c_l\mathbf{n}_{e_m},$$

which implies $c_l = 0$. By this way, we can prove that all $c_i = 0$ and $\Lambda_1, \cdots, \Lambda_{N_V}$ are linearly independent. Next, we will show that $b(\Lambda_i, q) = 0$ for all $q \in W_h$. Let

$q_j \in W_h$ such that $q_j = 1$ on $T_j \in \mathcal{T}_h$ and $q_j = 0$ otherwise. So we only need to show that

$$b(\Lambda_i, q_j) = 0, \quad \forall j = 1, \cdots, N_K. \tag{3.4}$$

Let Λ_i and q_j be associated with hull $\mathcal{H}_{P_i}$ and element T_j respectively. If $T_j \in \mathcal{T}_h$ is not in $\mathcal{H}_{P_i}$, we easily have $b(\Lambda_i, q_j) = 0$. If $T_j \in \mathcal{H}_{P_i}$, let e_s and e_{s+1} be its two edges in $\mathcal{H}_{P_i}$ shown in Figure 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned} b(\Lambda_i, q_j) &= \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} (\nabla_w \cdot \Lambda_i, q_j)_T \\ &= (\nabla_w \cdot \Lambda_i, q_j)_{T_j} \\ &= -(\Lambda_{i,0}, \nabla q)_{T_j} + \langle \Lambda_{i,b} \cdot \mathbf{n}, q \rangle_{\partial T_j} \\ &= \int_{e_s} \frac{1}{|e_s|} \mathbf{n}_{e_s} \cdot \mathbf{n} + \int_{e_{s+1}} \frac{1}{|e_{s+1}|} \mathbf{n}_{e_{s+1}} \cdot \mathbf{n} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

We proved $\Lambda_i \in D_h$ for $i = 1, \cdots, N_V$. □

THEOREM 3.4. *Let D_h be defined in (2.8). Then for two dimensional space, D_h is spanned by the following basis functions,*

$$D_h = \text{Span}\{\Phi_1, \cdots, \Phi_{6N_K}, \Upsilon_1, \cdots, \Upsilon_{N_F}, \Lambda_1, \cdots, \Lambda_{N_V}\}. \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. The number of the functions in the right hand side of (3.5) is $6N_K + N_F + N_V$ which is equal to $\dim(D_h)$ due to (3.3). Next, we prove that

$$\Phi_1, \cdots, \Phi_{6N_K}, \Upsilon_1, \cdots, \Upsilon_{N_F}, \Lambda_1, \cdots, \Lambda_{N_V}$$

are linearly independent. Since Φ_i take zero value on all $f \in \mathcal{F}_h$, Φ_i will be linearly independent to all Υ_l and Λ_m. Suppose

$$C_1\Upsilon_1 + \cdots + C_{N_F}\Upsilon_{N_F} + C_{N_F+1}\Lambda_1 + \cdots + C_{N_F+N_V}\Lambda_{N_V} = 0. \tag{3.6}$$

Multiplying (3.6) by Υ_i and integrating over e_i, we have

$$C_i|e_i| = 0,$$

where we use the fact $\mathbf{t}_{e_i} \cdot \mathbf{n}_e = 0$. Thus we can obtain $C_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \cdots, N_F$. By Lemma 3.3, we can prove $C_i = 0$ for $i = N_F + 1, \cdots, N_F + N_V$. The proof of the lemma is completed. □

4. Construction of Discrete Divergence Free Basis for Three Dimensional Space. Let $\mathcal{T}_h$ be a partition of $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ consisting polyhedrons without hanging nodes. Recall $N_F = card(\mathcal{F}_h^0)$, $N_V = card(\mathcal{V}_h^0)$ and $N_K = card(\mathcal{T}_h)$. Denote by $\mathcal{E}_h$ all the edges in $\mathcal{T}_h$ and let $\mathcal{E}_h^0 = \mathcal{E}_h \backslash \partial\Omega$. Let $N_E = card(\mathcal{E}_h^0)$.

It is known based on the Euler formula that for a partition consisting of convex polyhedrons, then

$$N_V + N_F + 1 = N_E + N_K. \tag{4.1}$$

For each $T \in \mathcal{T}_h$ and any $\mathbf{v} = \{\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_b\} \in V_h$, $\mathbf{v}_0$ is a vector function with three components and each component is a linear function. Therefore there are twelve

linearly independent linear functions $\Phi_{j+1}, \Phi_{j+2}, \cdots, \Phi_{j+12}$ in V_h such that they are nonzero only at the interior of element T. For each face $f_i \in \mathcal{F}_h^0$, $\mathbf{v}_b$ is a constant vector function with three component. Thus there are three linearly independent constant vector functions $\Psi_{i,1}$, $\Psi_{i,2}$ and $\Psi_{i,3}$ in V_h which take nonzero value only on the face f_i. Then it is easy to see that

$$V_h = \text{span}\{\Phi_1, \cdots, \Phi_{12N_K}, \Psi_{1,1}, \Psi_{1,2}, \Psi_{1,3} \cdots, \Psi_{N_F,1}, \Psi_{N_F,2}, \Psi_{N_F,3}\}. \tag{4.2}$$

Since the dimension for pressure space W_h is $N_K - 1$, (4.1) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(\mathrm{D_h}) &= \dim(\mathrm{V_h}) - \dim(\mathrm{W_h}) \\ &= 12N_K + 3N_F - N_K + 1 = 12N_K + 2N_F + N_E - N_V. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

LEMMA 4.1. *The functions $\Phi_1, \cdots, \Phi_{12N_K}$ in (4.2) are in D_h and linearly independent.*

Proof. Let $\Phi_i = \{\Phi_{i,0}, \Phi_{i,b}\}$. The definition of Φ_i implies $\Phi_{i,b} = 0$. For any $q \in W_h$, it follows from (2.2), $\nabla q = 0$ and $\Phi_{i,b} = 0$ that for any $q \in W_h$,

$$\begin{aligned} b(\Phi_i, q) &= \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} (\nabla_w \cdot \Phi_i, q)_T \\ &= \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} (-(\Phi_{i,0}, \nabla q)_T + \langle \Phi_{i,b} \cdot \mathbf{n}, q \rangle_{\partial T}) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

which finished the proof of the lemma since the linear independence of $\Phi_1, \cdots, \Phi_{12N_K}$ is obvious. ∎

For any face $f_i \in \mathcal{F}_h^0$, let $\mathbf{n}$ be a unit normal vector of f_i and let $\mathbf{t}_1$ and $\mathbf{t}_2$ be two linearly independent unit tangential vectors to the face f_i. Define $\Upsilon_{i,1} = C_{1,1}\Psi_{i,1} + C_{1,2}\Psi_{i,2} + C_{1,3}\Psi_{i,3}$ and $\Upsilon_{i,2} = C_{2,1}\Psi_{i,1} + C_{2,2}\Psi_{i,2} + C_{2,3}\Psi_{i,3}$ such that $\Upsilon_{i,1}|_{f_i} = \mathbf{t}_1$ and $\Upsilon_{i,1}|_{f_i} = \mathbf{t}_2$ respectively. Obviously $\Upsilon_{i,1}$ and $\Upsilon_{i,2}$ are in V_h and only nonzero on f_i.

LEMMA 4.2. *Functions $\Upsilon_{1,1}, \Upsilon_{1,2} \cdots, \Upsilon_{N_F,1}, \Upsilon_{N_F,2} \in V_h$ are in D_h and linearly independent.*

Proof. Let $\Upsilon_{i,j} = \{\Upsilon_{i,j,0}, \Upsilon_{i,j,b}\}$ with $j = 1, 2$. For any $q \in W_h$, it follows from (2.2) and $\nabla q = 0$ for $j = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} b(\Upsilon_{i,j}, q) &= \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} (\nabla_w \cdot \Upsilon_{i,j}, q)_T \\ &= \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} (-(\Upsilon_{i,j,0}, \nabla q)_T + \langle \Upsilon_{i,j,b} \cdot \mathbf{n}, q \rangle_{\partial T}) \\ &= \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \langle \Upsilon_{i,j,b} \cdot \mathbf{n}, q \rangle_{\partial T} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact $\mathbf{t}_j \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0$ with $j = 1, 2$. Since $\Upsilon_{i,j}$ is only nonzero on f_i, $\Upsilon_{1,1}, \Upsilon_{1,2} \cdots, \Upsilon_{N_F,1}, \Upsilon_{N_F,2}$ are linearly independent. We completed the proof. ∎

For a given interior edge $E_i \in \mathcal{E}_h$, assume there are r elements having E_i as one of their edges which form a solid denoted by $\mathcal{S}_{E_i}$ shown in Figure 4.1. Then there are



FIG. 4.1. *A 3D Hull* $\mathcal{S}_{E_i}$.

r interior faces f_j $(j = 1, \cdots, r)$ in $\mathcal{S}_{E_i}$. Let $\mathbf{n}_j$ be a unit normal vector on the face f_j such that normal vectors $\mathbf{n}_j$ $j = 1, \cdots, r$ form oriented loop around the interior edge E_i shown in Figure 4.1. For each f_j, let $\Psi_{j,1}$, $\Psi_{j,2}$ and $\Psi_{j,3}$ be the three basis functions of V_h which are only nonzero on f_j. Define $\Theta_j = C_1\Psi_{j,1} + C_2\Psi_{j,2} + C_3\Psi_{j,3} \in V_h$ such that $\Theta_j|_{f_j} = \mathbf{n}_j$. Define $\Lambda_i = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{1}{|f_j|}\Theta_j$.

LEMMA 4.3. *Functions* $\Lambda_1, \cdots, \Lambda_{N_E} \in V_h$ *are in* D_h .

Proof. Let Λ_i and q_j be associated with hull $\mathcal{S}_{E_i}$ and element T_j respectively. If $T_j \in \mathcal{T}_h$ is not in $\mathcal{S}_{E_i}$, we easily have $b(\Lambda_i, q_j) = 0$. If $T_j \in \mathcal{S}_{E_i}$, let faces f_s and f_{s+1} be its two faces in $\mathcal{S}_{E_i}$ shown in Figure 4.1,

$$\begin{aligned} b(\Lambda_i, q_j) &= \sum_{T\in\mathcal{T}_h} (\nabla_w \cdot \Lambda_i, q_j)_T \\ &= (\nabla_w \cdot \Lambda_i, q_j)_{T_j} \\ &= -(\Lambda_{i,0}, \nabla q)_{T_j} + \langle \Lambda_{i,b} \cdot \mathbf{n}, q\rangle_{\partial T_j} \\ &= \int_{f_s} \frac{1}{|f_s|}\mathbf{n}_{f_s} \cdot \mathbf{n} + \int_{f_{s+1}} \frac{1}{|f_{s+1}|}\mathbf{n}_{f_{s+1}} \cdot \mathbf{n} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

We proved $\Lambda_i \in D_h$ for $i = 1, \cdots, N_E$. ∎

Unfortunately, $\Lambda_1, \cdots, \Lambda_{N_E}$ are linearly dependent. Let P_i be an interior vertex in $\mathcal{T}_h$ and $\mathcal{G}_{P_i}$ be a hull formed by the elements $T \in \mathcal{T}_h$ sharing P_i. Let $e_j \in \mathcal{E}_h^0$, $j = 1, \cdots, t$ with P_i as one of its end point and Λ_j, $j = 1, \cdots, t$ be the discrete divergence free functions associated with e_j. With appropriate choosing $\mathbf{n}_j$ in defining Λ_j, one can prove that $\sum_{j=1}^{t} \Lambda_j = 0$. However, if we eliminate one function from $\{\Lambda_1, \cdots, \Lambda_t\}$ randomly, say Λ_1, we will prove that $\{\Lambda_2, \cdots, \Lambda_t\}$ are linearly independent in the following lemma.

LEMMA 4.4. *Functions* $\Lambda_2, \cdots, \Lambda_t$ *are linearly independent.*

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{F}_h^0$ be an interior face in $\mathcal{G}_{P_i}$ with e_1 and e_2 as its two edges in $\mathcal{G}_{P_i}$. The definition of Λ_j implies that only Λ_1 and Λ_2 are nonzero on f. Suppose

that there exist constants $c_2, \cdots, c_t$ such that $\sum_{i=2}^{t} c_i \Lambda_i = 0$. Then we have

$$0 = \sum_{i=2}^{t} \int_f c_i \Lambda_i = \int_f c_2 \Lambda_2 = \int_f c_2 \Theta_f = c_2 \mathbf{n}_f,$$

which implies $c_2 = 0$. By this way, we can prove that all $c_i = 0$ and $\Lambda_2, \cdots, \Lambda_t$ are linearly independent. □

We start with $\{\Lambda_1, \cdots, \Lambda_{N_E}\}$ and eliminate one function for each $\mathcal{G}_{P_i}$ for $i = 1, \cdots, N_V$. With renumbering the functions, we end up with $N_E - N_V$ discrete divergence free functions: $\{\Lambda_1, \cdots, \Lambda_{N_E - N_V}\}$.

LEMMA 4.5. *Functions $\{\Lambda_1, \cdots, \Lambda_{N_E - N_V}\}$ are linearly independent.*

Proof. The proof of the lemma is similar to the proofs of Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 4.4. □

THEOREM 4.6. *Let D_h be defined in (2.8). Then for three dimensional space, D_h is spanned by the following basis functions,*

$$D_h = \text{Span}\{\Phi_1, \cdots, \Phi_{12N_K}, \Upsilon_{1,1}, \Upsilon_{1,2}, \cdots, \Upsilon_{N_F,1}, \Upsilon_{N_F,2}, \Lambda_1, \cdots, \Lambda_{N_E - N_V}\}. \tag{4.4}$$

Proof. The number of the functions in the right hand side of (4.4) is $12N_K + 2N_F + N_E - N_V$ which is equal to $\dim(D_h)$ due to (4.3). Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.4, we can prove that $\{\Phi_1, \cdots, \Phi_{6N_K}, \Upsilon_{1,1}, \Upsilon_{1,2}, \cdots, \Upsilon_{N_F,1}, \Upsilon_{N_F,2}, \Lambda_1, \cdots, \Lambda_{N_E - N_V}\}$ are linear independent. □

5. Numerical Experiments. In this section, we shall report several results of numerical examples for two dimensional Stokes equations. The divergence-free finite element scheme introduced in Algorithm 2 is used. The main purpose if to numerically validate the accuracy and efficiency of the WG scheme.

Let $\mathbf{v}_h \in D_h$ and $q_h \in W_h$, the error for the WG-FEM solution is measured in three norms defined as follows:

$$|||\mathbf{v}_h|||^2 := \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \left(\int_T |\nabla_w \mathbf{v}_h|^2 dT + + h_T^{-1} \int_{\partial T} (\mathbf{v}_0 - \mathbf{v}_b)^2 ds \right), \qquad \text{(A discrete } H^1\text{-norm)},$$

$$\|\mathbf{v}_0\|^2 := \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_T |\mathbf{v}_0|^2 dx, \qquad \text{(Element-based } L^2\text{-norm)}.$$

TABLE 5.1
Test Case 1: Numerical error and convergence rates for the Stokes equation with homogeneous boundary conditions on the uniform rectangular meshes.

h	$\|\|\|\mathbf{u}_h - Q_h\mathbf{u}\|\|\|$	order	$\|\mathbf{u}_0 - Q_0\mathbf{u}\|$	order
1/4	8.1050e-01		2.9957e-01	
1/8	6.9698e-01	2.1769e-01	9.9634e-02	1.5882
1/16	4.4578e-01	6.4479e-01	3.1031e-02	1.6829
1/32	2.4452e-01	8.6638e-01	8.5507e-03	1.8596
1/64	1.2620e-01	9.5424e-01	2.2131e-03	1.9500
1/128	6.3751e-02	9.8519e-01	5.5968e-04	1.9834



FIG. 5.1. *Example 1: Level 1 of mixed polygonal mesh.*

TABLE 5.2
Test Case 1: Numerical error and convergence rates for the Stokes equation with homogeneous boundary conditions on the mixed polygonal meshes.

h	$\|\|\|\mathbf{u}_h - Q_h\mathbf{u}\|\|\|$	order	$\|\mathbf{u}_0 - Q_0\mathbf{u}\|$	order
4.1016e-01	8.1917e-01		3.0927e-01	
2.0508e-01	7.0386e-01	2.1887e-01	1.0421e-01	1.5694
1.0254e-01	4.6002e-01	6.1359e-01	3.3478e-02	1.6382
5.1270e-02	2.5560e-01	8.4781e-01	9.4392e-03	1.8265
2.5635e-02	1.3230e-01	9.5007e-01	2.4560e-03	1.9424
1.2818e-02	6.6890e-02	9.8401e-01	6.2217e-04	1.9810

5.1. Test case 1. The domain is set as $\Omega = (0,1)\times(0,1)$. Let the exact solution $\mathbf{u}$ and p as follows,

$$\mathbf{u} = \begin{pmatrix} 10x^2y(x-1)^2(2y-1)(y-1) \\ -10xy^2(2x-1)(x-1)(y-1)^2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } p = 10(2x-1)(2y-1).$$

It is easy to check that homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition is satisfied for this testing. The right hand side function f is given to match the exact solutions.

The first test shall be performed on the uniform rectangular meshes and the mixed polygonal meshes. The uniform rectangular meshes are generated by partition the domain Ω into $n \times n$ sub-rectangles. The mesh size is denoted by $h = 1/n$. Moreover, the WG divergence free algorithm is also test on the mixed polygonal type meshes. We start with the initial mesh shown as the Figure 5.1, which contains the mixture of triangles and quadrilaterals. The next level of mesh is to refine the previous level of mesh by connecting the mid-point on each edge. The mesh size in this case is also denoted by h.

The error profile is reported in Table 5.1-5.2 for the rectangular meshes and mixed polygonal meshes, respectively. Both of the tables show the same convergence rate as the theoretical conclusion, which is $O(h)$ in the H^1-norm and $O(h^2)$ in the L^2-norm.

5.2. Test case 2. The domain is given by $\Omega = (0,1) \times (0,1)$. Let the exact solutions $\mathbf{u}$ and p as follows,

$$\mathbf{u} = \begin{pmatrix} x(1-x)(1-2y) \\ -y(1-y)(1-2x) \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } p = 2(y-x).$$

The Dirichlet boundary condition and the right hand side function is set to match the above exact solutions. It is easy to check that the exact solution $\mathbf{u}$ satisfies the non-homogeneous boundary condition.

For this testing, the WG divergence free algorithm is perform on the triangular grids. The uniform triangular girds are generated by: (1) partition the domain into $n \times n$ sub-rectangles; (2) divide each square element into two triangles by the diagonal line with a negative slope. The mesh size is denoted by $h = 1/n$.

For the calculation of the pressure p_h, we shall make use of the basis function $\mathbf{v} \in V_h \backslash D_h$. This basis function is corresponding to the velocity $\mathbf{v}_b$ related of the normal direction on each edge. Let $\mathbf{v} \in V_h \backslash D_h$, the pressure p_h is computed as follows,

$$b(\mathbf{v}, p_h) = a(\mathbf{u}_h, \mathbf{v}) - (f, \mathbf{v}_0).$$

Beside testing two norms of the error in velocity, we also measure the L^2-error in pressure. The numerical results in Table 5.3 show an $O(h)$ convergence in the $|||\cdot|||$ norm for velocity, $O(h^2)$ convergence in the L^2-norm for velocity, and $O(h)$ convergence in the L^2-norm for pressure, which are confirmed by Theorem 2.1.

TABLE 5.3
Test Case 2: Numerical error and convergence rates for the Stokes equation with non-homogeneous boundary conditions.

h	$\|\|\|\mathbf{u}_h - Q_h\mathbf{u}\|\|\|$	$\|\mathbf{u}_0 - Q_0\mathbf{u}\|$	$\|p_h - p\|$
2.5000e-01	2.8901e-01	4.2990e-02	2.2624e-01
1.2500e-01	1.4367e-01	1.0896e-02	1.2246e-01
6.2500e-02	7.1997e-02	2.7432e-03	6.4525e-02
3.1250e-02	3.6052e-02	6.8773e-04	3.3224e-02
1.5625e-02	1.8037e-02	1.7210e-04	1.6871e-02
7.8125e-03	9.0202e-03	4.3038e-05	8.5037e-03
Conv.Rate	9.9966e-01	1.9934	9.4871e-01

Acknowledgement. We offer our gratitude to professor Eric Lord and professor David Singer for their help on obtaining Equation (4.1).

REFERENCES

[1] B. Cockburn, G. Kanschat, D. Schötzau, and C. Schwab, *Local discontinuous Galerkin methods for the Stokes system*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 40 (2002) 319–343.

[2] M. Crouzeix and P. A. Raviart, *Conforming and nonconforming finite element methods for solving the stationary Stokes equations*, RAIRO Anal. Numer., 7 (1973) 33–76.

[3] V. Girault and P.A. Raviart, *Finite Element Methods for the Navier-Stokes Equations: Theory and Algorithms*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.

[4] V. Girault, B. Rivière, and M.F. Wheeler, *A discontinuous Gelerkin method with nonconforming domain decomposition for Stokes and Navier-Stokes problems*, Math. Comp., 74 (2004) 53–84.

[5] D. Griffiths, *Finite element for incompressible flow*, Math. Meth. in Appl. Sci., 1 (1979) 16–31.

[6] D. Griffiths, *The construction of approximately divergence-free finite element*, The Mathematics of Finite Element an Its Applications III, Ed. J.R. Whiteman, Academic Press, 1979.

[7] D. Griffiths, *An approximately divergence-free 9-node velocity element for incompressible flows*, Inter. J. Num. Meth. in Fluid, 1 (1981) 323–346.

[8] M. D. Gunzburger, *Finite Element Methods for Viscous Incompressible Flows, A Guide to Theory, Practice and Algorithms*, Academic, San Diego, 1989.

[9] K. Gustafson and R. Hartman, *Divergence-free basis for finite element schemes in hydrodynamics*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 20 (1983) 697–721.

[10] K. Gustafson and R. Hartman, *Graph theory and fluid dynamics*, SIAM J. Alg. Disc. Meth., 6 (1985) 643-656.

[11] O. A. Karakashian and W. N. Jureidini, *A nonconforming finite element method for the stationary Navier-Stokes equations*, SIAM J. Numerical Analysis, 35 (1998) 93–120.

[12] J. Liu and C. Shu, *A high order discontinuous Galerkin method for* 2D *incompressible flows*, J. Comput. Phys., 160 (2000) 577–596.

[13] J. Wang and X. Ye, *A weak Galerkin finite element method for second-order elliptic problems*, J. Comp. and Appl. Math, 241 (2013) 103-115.

[14] J. Wang and X. Ye, *A Weak Galerkin mixed finite element method for second-order elliptic problems*, Math. Comp., 83 (2014), 2101-2126.

[15] J. Wang and X. Ye *A Weak Galerkin Finite Element Method for the Stokes Equations*, Advances in Computational Mathematics, DOI 10.1007/s10444-015-9415-2, arXiv:1302.2707.

[16] J. Wang and X. Ye, *New finite element methods in computational fluid dynamics by* $H(\mathrm{div})$ *elements*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 45 (2007) 1269–1286.

[17] J. Wang, X. Wang and X. Ye *Finite Element Methods for the Navier-Stokes equations by* $H(\mathrm{div})$ *Elements*, Journal of Computational Mathematics, 26 (2008) 1–28.

[18] X. Ye and C. Hall, *A Discrete divergence free basis for finite element methods*, Numerical Algorithms, 16 (1997) 365–380.

[19] X. Ye and C. Hall, *The Construction of null basis for a discrete divergence operator*, J. Computational and Applied Mathematics, 58 (1995) 117–133.